Now you see it now you don't:

"Who is the taxpayer" in *Macquarie Bank* case

Antony Ting, University of Sydney

antony.ting@sydney.edu.au

Keywords: corporate tax, tax consolidation, general anti-avoidance provision

Abstract

The Macquarie Bank case is possibly the modern case on the issue "who is the

taxpayer". However, it differs from Federal Coke on a critical point. While the

Commissioner failed to identify the correct taxpayer in Federal Coke, there is no

chance that he could have identified the correct taxpayer in Macquarie Bank.

This is because, through the 'magic' of the consolidation regime, the correct

taxpayer did not exist at all.

Macquarie Bank Ltd successfully took advantage of the consolidation regime and

reduced an otherwise taxable gain of \$318 million to \$41 million. The ATO

challenged the arrangement under Part IVA, but was in vain. The interaction

between the consolidation regime and Part IVA dictates that the company - that,

in the absence of the scheme, would have made the gain of \$318 million – was no

longer a taxpayer under the definition of "tax benefit" in Part IVA. Despite the

desperate attempt of issuing duplicate assessments with respect to the same \$318

million gain to two different companies, the Commissioner was doomed to defeat,

as no taxpayer could have obtained a "tax benefit".

This outcome defies common sense and highlights the difficult interaction

between the enterprise doctrine – under which a corporate group is treated as one

single enterprise for income tax purposes – and the separate entity doctrine which

treats each company as a separate taxpayer, even if the company is a wholly

owned subsidiary of another company.

This paper first reviews the facts and decisions of the case. This is followed by the

analysis of two key issues arising from the case with respect to the interaction

between the consolidation regime and Part IVA: the issue of "who is the taxpayer",

and the problematic application of the definition of "tax benefit" to a consolidated

1

group. The paper then explores possible policy options to address not only the particular issues arising in *Macquarie Bank* case, but also the more general problem of inserting a strong application of the enterprise doctrine in the income tax system.

Does this paper relate to a PhD that you are currently enrolled in?		
\boxtimes	No	
	Yes[please advis	se current institution you are enrolled in]
Tick	ck whether you want this paper to be	considered for any of the following prizes:
	Best PhD Student Paper	
	Best Tax Teaching Paper	
\boxtimes	Best Tax Research Paper	